

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/750,588	12/31/2003	Thomas Jay Wells	Y5.0086	2130	
7590 09/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Mathew R. P. Perrone, Jr. 210 South Main Street			NGUYEN, VINCENT Q		
Algonquin, IL 60102-2639			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2858		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/750,588	WELLS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vincent Q. Nguyen	2858	

	Vincent Q. Nguyen	2858	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earner 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 xtension and the corresponding amount	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS For the same of the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee tate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(by NOTICE OF APPEAL	o).		•
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	hut adapte the data of file		
3.   ∴ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a)   ∴ They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b)   ∴ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7 and 8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
3.  Other:		V. Dauger Vincent Q. Nguyen	<u></u>
	-	Vincent Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claims 5, 7, 8 and the addition of new claims 9-14 would require further search and/or consideration.